





Forest Resource Availability After Nuclear War or Other Sun-Blocking Catastrophes

Daniel Jefferson Winstead¹  and Michael Gregory Jacobson¹ 

¹Department of Ecosystem Science and Management, College of Agriculture, The Pennsylvania State University, State College, PA, USA

Key Points:

- Climate conditions after a nuclear war may cause most forests to die. Widespread fires may then destroy remaining forest resources
- In a post-catastrophe world, wood may be the one easily accessible resource available for smaller communities and family groups
- The decomposition rates of wood across the globe would decrease to varying degrees due to decreased precipitation and temperature

Correspondence to:

D. J. Winstead,
djw5623@PSU.edu

Citation:

Winstead, D. J., & Jacobson, M. G. (2022). Forest resource availability after nuclear war or other sun-blocking catastrophes. *Earth's Future*, 10, e2021EF002509. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EF002509>

Received 9 FEB 2022
 Accepted 31 MAY 2022

Abstract A global, sun-blocking catastrophe like nuclear war, an asteroid strike, or a super volcano eruption spells disaster for most aspects of life as we know it. There have been many studies on how differing magnitudes of sun-blocking catastrophes would affect the global climate, and many mention the effects of this cold, dark climate on forests and cropping systems. However, few studies have solely focused on the effects of nuclear winter on forests in terms of food, resources, and decomposition. Forests already provide over a billion people with food and fuel for their livelihoods. In this review, we connect how prehistoric catastrophes affected the world's forests to how a current-day catastrophe may affect forest health, forest resource availability, and wood decomposition rates. We briefly discuss how forest resources may be used in this post-catastrophe climate for food and fuel in an energy and fuel-depleted world. We use this information to make policy and education suggestions to prepare for future catastrophes, build resilience from smaller local disasters, prepare for the many effects of climate change, and discourage nuclear weapon stockpiles.

1. Introduction

Sun-blocking, global catastrophes pose unique problems to many areas of human health and survival. Soot lofted into the atmosphere by explosions and fire from nuclear war, a large meteor impact, or super volcano eruption would result in extreme global climate change (Denkenberger & Pearce, 2014b; Toon et al., 2014). The most likely of these scenarios is a nuclear war which has an estimated likelihood range from 0.01%–1% of occurring each year (Barrett et al., 2013; Denkenberger & Pearce, 2014c). As the Russia-Ukraine War escalated in early 2022 and Russian nuclear forces were put on high alert, global nuclear war has again become a tangible threat since the end of the Cold War (Helfand et al., 2022). As historical near-miss events have taught us, mistakes and false alarms could be the only needed prerequisite for a global nuclear war (Barrett et al., 2013; Helfand et al., 2022).

Models of the earth's climate after a large nuclear war between the U.S. and Russia project dismal outcomes. Such a nuclear war would change the global climate drastically for at least 10–15 years with deep freezes across most temperate latitudes, substantial blockage of sunlight from soot, increased UV radiation and decreased precipitation worldwide (Coupe et al., 2019; Denkenberger et al., 2017; Mills et al., 2008). City infrastructures in warm areas, such as waterlines, are not designed with deep freezes in mind, so freezes would inevitably cause severe infrastructure damage because of frozen waterlines (Pericault et al., 2017). Electricity and many fuel delivery services would likely not be available either. This is in addition to the political, infrastructural, and medical trauma that would be caused by nuclear war.

Although the entire Earth's climate would be affected by a large sun-blocking catastrophe event, not all areas would be affected equally. For instance, models from Coupe et al. (2019) predict that a large nuclear war between the U.S. and Russia would result in most of North America, Europe, and Asia experiencing hard freezes year-round for approximately 3 years, while some tropical and mid-latitude coastal areas only have temperature changes of a couple degrees Celsius. Although the same models predict that there would be less severe temperature reductions near the tropics, within a few years of a nuclear catastrophe large portions of tropical rainforests could see annual precipitation levels decrease by 90% and decreases in the sunlight below 40% of normal light levels. The cumulative effects of these environmental conditions would have detrimental consequences on the world's agricultural systems (Denkenberger et al., 2017). In addition to decimating agricultural production, sun-blocked conditions would likely affect natural systems across the planet, disrupting ecosystem function in most habitats.

© 2022 The Authors. Earth's Future published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of American Geophysical Union. This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1.1. The Modern Importance of Forests

Of those habitats, forests are arguably the most important, making up 80% of the world's biomass (Kindermann et al., 2008) and 31% of the Earth's land area (FAO, 2020). Whether for non-timber forest products (NTFPs), ecotourism, or ecosystem services, forests are a particularly important habitat that approximately 1.6 billion people directly depend on for their livelihoods (Chao, 2012). Timber and NTFPs harvested from forests are an essential resource for many communities across the world in our current, non-catastrophe environment (Sreevani, 1992). However, it is unknown how a nuclear winter would affect forest health and concomitantly its resources. In this paper, we seek to determine what the direct and indirect effects of a sun-blocking catastrophe on global forest health would be and to determine the availability of forest resources for human use after such a catastrophe. We will discuss impacts on food and fuel production, along with resource use and availability.

The world's total yearly roundwood production, about 4 billion m³, is almost evenly split between fuelwood and industrial roundwood (all other wood products not used as fuel) (FAO, 2019). This has been true of global wood production since 1961 at the earliest, and only since 2017 has industrial roundwood production exceeded fuelwood (FAO, 2019). It is important to note that temperate areas produce around twice as many industrial wood products as tropical areas (FAO, 2019). Additionally, some wood resources are more often gained from plantations than from natural forests. Half of these plantations are located in the tropics or subtropics (Ghazoul, 2013). For example, 70% of industrial roundwood is produced from plantations, however, only 7% of fuelwood is produced in plantations (Penna, 2010). Usually, these plantations are not very biodiverse and the majority grow solely pine (*Pinus* spp.), China-fir (*Cunninghamia* spp.), poplar (*Populus* spp.), and/or eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus* spp.) (Bauhus et al., 2010).

In developing countries, wood is most commonly used for fuel (Knight & Rosa, 2012). For example, wood is the main fuel source for two-thirds of households in Africa for heating, cooking, and industry (FAO, 2017). Less fuelwood is used as households transition to more 'modern' cooking fuels such as electricity and gas (Knight & Rosa, 2012). In developed countries, wood is mainly used for other commodities such as packaging, biochar, biochemicals, textiles, and lumber (Durbak et al., 1998). It is likely that in a catastrophe described above, fuel sources such as oil, gas, and electricity, may not be easily accessible, making wood a much more valuable resource (Denkenberger & Pearce, 2015; Sreevani, 1992). About 3% of the world's forests are disturbed every year due to human activity such as logging (Pan et al., 2019). This is arguably a high number in a non-catastrophe scenario and should be lowered for many reasons; however, it does show the abundance of wood available on Earth.

Additionally, food is widely harvested from almost all forests, both for commercial and subsistence use (Ingram & Schure, 2010). In some cases, these foods have been domesticated and grown in plantations and/or intentionally cultivated in natural forests (e.g., cacao, coffee, rubber, and plantain) (Armengot et al., 2016). Importantly, forests provide 'wild' edible plants (WEPs) which currently act as famine foods for many populations when agriculture fails (Cruz García, 2006; Ocho et al., 2012) and provide regular sustenance to many forest-dwelling peoples around the world (Hladik et al., 1993). This indicates that WEPs may be a critical source of foraging stockpiles and potential cool-, drought-, and shade-tolerant crops during a time of global food insecurity and a sun-blocked climate (Winstead & Jacobson, 2022). Even though forests provide many food resources, most are seasonal and not abundant enough to feed the world's populations. Alternatively, converting wood itself into a food resource may be possible.

A large amount of energy exists within the hemicellulose and cellulose of all the woody plants. In fact, about three-fourths of the world's plant carbon resides in forests which equates to about 2×10^{18} kcal of available energy in carbohydrates (Bar-On et al., 2018; Pan et al., 2019; Pettersen, 1984). If those indigestible carbohydrates of wood were broken down to digestible simple starches and sugars with 5% efficiency, the woody mass in forests would be enough to feed the earth's population for 16–18 years given a 2,000-kcal day⁻¹ diet. Unfortunately, most of this biomass is inedible by humans in its natural state. However, there are processes to make this energy bioaccessible that will be discussed later in the paper.

By reviewing the literature on past catastrophes and disasters (e.g., Cretaceous-Paleogene (K-Pg) extinction event, wildfires, and volcanic eruptions) and current forestry literature, we aim to give an overview of how a sun-blocking event would affect forest health and wood resource accessibility. Additionally, we will look at the accessibility of calories held in forest-based woody material. It is wishful thinking that a largescale, coordinated effort to gather and process forest resources would be feasible given the widespread infrastructural damage likely

to occur worldwide after such a large catastrophic event (Graham, 2009). For this reason, our main focus of this review will be on community and household level wood resource use instead of industrial, coordinated responses as written about by others (Denkenberger & Pearce, 2014a). We will be focusing our attention on post-nuclear war climate models in this paper as it is one of the most likely sun-blocking catastrophe scenarios and also the most preventable (Ord, 2020).

2. Effects of a Sun-Blocking Catastrophe

2.1. Hypothesized Direct Effects on Forest Health

Atmospheric conditions after a nuclear war pose many problems for the world's forests. Climate models from Coupe et al. (2019) show that the effect of nuclear war on the world's climate would not be uniform, particularly between temperate and tropical areas. Large temperature decreases (5–12°C) are predicted globally but would result in multi-year deep freezes in northern latitudes (90–25°N), while tropical areas would mostly remain above freezing (Coupe et al., 2019).

Therefore, nuclear winter would affect life differently depending on location. Recent studies have shown that tropical plants have wide temperature tolerance ranges similar to temperate plants and that most tropical plants currently reside in the higher portion of that temperature range (Sentinella et al., 2020). This suggests that tropical plants may adapt to moderate cooling better than temperate plants. Although it was thought that tropical plants were more sensitive to large temperature increases than temperate plants in the past (Wright et al., 2009), many plants may be able to survive the shift in decreased temperature in the tropics from a nuclear disaster. However, tropical plants are extremely sensitive to frost and any freezes would result in plant death (Greene et al., 1985).

This temperature stress would be exacerbated by large decreases in precipitation (Coupe et al., 2019). One to 2 years of drought alone are enough for acute tree death (Poulos, 2014), and frost events during drought have been shown to be detrimental to tree health and often result in tree mortality due to the inability of the plant to transport water because of xylem freezing and embolisms (Poulos, 2014). Decreased precipitation would also mean an increase in dry, dead wood which would make wildfires more likely (Denkenberger et al., 2017). Although wildfires are sometimes healthy and a natural part of ecosystem function in dry temperate areas, tropical rainforests rarely experience natural wildfires, and those rare events are not well understood (Cochrane, 2009).

The causes of ignition for wildfires are a complex issue that differs across the world (Syphard & Keeley, 2015). Although lightning is the leading cause of fire in some areas, several studies in Europe, Asia, and North America have shown that more than 95% of wildfires with known origin are human-caused and are therefore largely preventable (Depicker et al., 2020; Hering et al., 2009; Rorig & Ferguson, 1999; Syphard et al., 2007; Ying et al., 2021). For instance, one study on wildfires in California showed that depending on the municipal region, most land burned in a wildfire is due to fires ignited by powerlines, machinery, campfires, and arson (Syphard & Keeley, 2015). All but the last are preventable through education and awareness.

The ash and soot from a catastrophic event and resulting wildfires would disrupt forest and plant health. In addition to windblown soot abrasions, fine soot particles are known to attach themselves to leaves easily, which may further block photosynthesis (Pierson et al., 2013). Previous volcanic eruptions have demonstrated the destructive power of particulate fallout over many years. For instance, ash from the 1991 Hudson eruption in Patagonia continued to be mobile for years afterward and many farmers could not successfully grow crops for several years after the eruption because of ash storms (Wilson et al., 2011).

In order for plants to survive, light levels must remain above the light compensation point (LCP), which is the point where a plant “breaks even” between photosynthesis and cellular respiration, and is the threshold of both survival and growth (Bravo et al., 2007; Harwell, 1984). This point is different depending on a plant's stage of growth and the natural conditions the plant is adapted to (Sendall et al., 2015; Timm et al., 2002). Trees' LCP ranges roughly between 5 and 40 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ depending on if they are early successional (pioneers) or late successional (mature forest) (Bravo et al., 2007; Eschenbach et al., 1998; Kitao et al., 2016). In models of nuclear winter, sunlight would be decreased to at most 40% of pre-catastrophe direct sunlight in the tropics and 5% of pre-catastrophe light intensity ($\approx 60 \mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) at higher latitudes (Coupe et al., 2019). Although this is higher than the normal LCP for most plants, colder temperatures also increase the light needed to survive (i.e., increase LCP) in some plants (Bravo et al., 2007). Therefore, these model outcomes suggest that plants

in northern latitudes would be most likely to suffer from insufficient light for growth and survival. Similarly, evidence from the K-Pg boundary shows that there would likely not be enough light to reach LCP after a large meteor impact like the K-Pg bolide impact discussed later (Bardeen et al., 2017). Additionally, the Community Earth System Model-Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model version 4 (WACCM4), predicts a decrease of global net primary production (NPP) to near 0% after a 150 Tg atmospheric soot injection (Toon et al., 2019). After the onset of nuclear winter, NPP is predicted to slowly rise over the next 10 years (Toon et al., 2019).

UV-B/C radiation poses unique and less predictable threats (Greene et al., 1985). Soot injections from either a small or large nuclear war would cause a depletion of ozone in the atmosphere, and in turn, an increase in UV-B/C radiation at the earth's surface after the soot dissipates (Denkenberger et al., 2017; Jagermeyr et al., 2020; Mills et al., 2008). Unfiltered UV-B radiation has many detrimental effects on plant growth and reproduction, including but not limited to: stunted growth, decreased photosynthesis, sterility, genetic mutation, and abnormal development (Greene et al., 1985). Effects of UV-B/C radiation on seed germination differ greatly depending on species, killing some species while others are not severely affected (Tepfer & Leach, 2017).

2.2. Prehistoric Catastrophes as Examples

Prehistoric catastrophic events give insight into the potential conditions of a nuclear winter or post-asteroid strike winter. The bolide impact, which marks the Cretaceous-Paleogene (K-Pg) transition and is evidenced by the K-Pg geologic boundary layer, is a good case study for the potential effects of nuclear winter-driven global wildfires and sun-blocked conditions on plants. The K-Pg boundary layer is characterized by the presence of soot particles, the sudden absence of flowering plants (angiosperms), and a spike in fern populations, which indicates mass deforestation in the presence of fire (Vajda et al., 2001). There is a debate whether ejecta from the impact itself or the subsequent drought caused the widespread wildfires (Harvey et al., 2008; Morgan et al., 2013). A geological study using scanning electron microscopy, suggests that more than half of the charred wood present at the K-Pg boundary layer was partially decomposed before its ignition (Jones & Lim, 2000). This supports the hypothesis that wildfires came later in dry conditions, only after mass plant death due to the sun-blockage and decreased temperatures (Vajda et al., 2001).

Contrarily, recent models seem to suggest that the soot needed to reduce light below the photosynthetic threshold to cause plant death could only have occurred if there were widespread firestorms immediately after impact. These would have been caused by ejecta and a bolide heat wave (Bardeen et al., 2017; Tabor et al., 2020). One of these recent models published by Tabor et al. (2020) estimated critical soot levels for widescale plant death based solely on the sun-blocking effect of soot and not the potential coincident changes in environmental conditions (drought, cold, increased UV-B/C radiation) and their cumulative effects on LCP and thus plant health as we have discussed in the previous section. Although it is apparent that widespread firestorms influenced the post-impact environment, and that dark conditions did play a role in plant extinction, other effects such as cold temperatures and drought may have been even more influential in plant death. Taking these factors into consideration decreases the initial amount of soot needed to cause plant death from that predicted in the Tabor et al. (2020) model and may suggest earlier forest death and later onset of forest fires as an indirect effect due to drought. Besides the timing of wildfires, both Bardeen et al. (2017) and Tabor et al. (2020) further support our predictions about forest health with very robust climate models.

The estimated range of the amount of soot injected into the atmosphere from the K-Pg bolide impact is very broad (750-35,000 Tg of fine soot) (Bardeen et al., 2017). This is anywhere from 5 to 467 times larger than the current day estimates of soot from a large nuclear war (150 Tg) (Bardeen et al., 2017). The effects on climate from the bolide impact modeled by Bardeen et al., in 2017, also show that UV-B radiation increased above normal levels 5–9 years after the impact. This suggests that UV-B radiation would not be a problem for the first several years after a similar catastrophe.

Separately, geologic evidence across multiple continents from around 74,000 years ago shows severe cooling and ash deposition that coincide with the Toba super-volcano eruption in Sumatra (Rampino & Self, 1992). Other geologic and archeological events that coincide with the eruption include the replacement of forests with grassland, an ice age, and animal extinctions (Williams et al., 2009). Additionally, this eruption caused drought in the tropics and subsequent wildfires (Rampino & Ambrose, 2000). Although the sulfate aerosols produced from this volcanic eruption had different atmospheric and optical properties than black carbon from a nuclear

explosion would have, the sulfate aerosols still caused regional cooling effects similar to black carbon soot making this a good proxy for a regional “nuclear winter” (Rampino & Self, 1992). Direct ashfall also seemed to alter plant diversity for thousands of years (Williams et al., 2009). Cumulatively, these geological data and historical catastrophes suggest that there would be worldwide tree death before subsequent wildfires after a similar modern-day, sun-blocking catastrophe.

2.3. Wood Resource Loss and Decomposition

Considering these detrimental effects on the world's forests, begs the question; how long and in what condition would wood resources be available after trees die? Wood decomposition rates across the world would likely be altered in a post-catastrophe climate.

First, decomposition would slow in cooler temperatures as does most biological metabolisms (Anderson, 1991). The majority of wood decomposition is led by microbial and fungal enzymatic digestion, but invertebrates also play an important role in wood decomposition as they also digest wood and provide openings for more decomposers (Ulyshen, 2016). The decomposition process typically takes many years for large pieces of wood to fully decompose into humus and yet it is an essential part of the carbon cycle (Harmon et al., 2004).

Additionally, climate models show a global precipitation reduction average of $\approx 50\%$ but also a slight increase in global troposphere relative humidity ($\approx 10\%$) up to 10 years after a large nuclear war (Coupe et al., 2019). Although decreased precipitation would reduce standing free water, very high relative humidity ($>90\%$) could provide the moisture needed to decompose smaller diameter lignocellulosic matter and labile litter (Dirks et al., 2010; Jacobson et al., 2015). It is less likely that increased humidity would be sufficient to provide enough moisture to decompose large diameter logs, as fungi on larger lignocellulosic matter require free water to saturate logs (Jacobson et al., 2015). More importantly, lower temperatures would still slow decomposition rates in most areas because although water is essential for decomposition, change in temperature is generally more influential in determining decomposition rate than moisture content (Anderson, 1991; Seibold et al., 2021; Sierra et al., 2017). Mainly, for wood to decay, it must have enough water to transport extracellular enzymes created by decomposers (Kirk & Cowling, 1984). This happens when the wood reaches its fiber-saturation point, that is, when about 27% of the wood's dry-weight of water is absorbed (Kirk & Cowling, 1984). A 90% reduction in precipitation in the tropics would likely not allow for large diameter dead wood to reach this fiber-saturation point.

We postulate that after a nuclear winter scenario, decomposition rates of wood would be lower in temperate areas when only considering temperature. Although wood in tropical areas would still decompose, the decomposition rate of the tropics may also slow marginally because of decreased precipitation. Tropical areas may see substantial amounts of wood lost to decomposition after the climate begins to warm up again 7–10 years after the sun-blocking catastrophe.

As stated previously, wildfires would be common for years after the catastrophe, adding more soot into the atmosphere and burning remaining wood resources. If moisture would be returned to an area that has been burned, the remaining burned material would likely have a faster decomposition rate than unburned material (Throop et al., 2017). Additionally, ozone depletion would cause an increase in unfiltered UV-B/C radiation after a sun-blocking catastrophe (Coupe et al., 2019; Jagermeyr et al., 2020; Mills et al., 2008). Increased UV-B/C has also been shown to speed the rate of litter decomposition via photodegradation (Dirks et al., 2010; Pieristè et al., 2019). These variables add yet more uncertainty to wood decomposition rates after such a catastrophe.

2.4. Cumulative Effects of Post-Nuclear Climate on Wood Resources

Based on the above discussion, places that normally have exceptionally high decomposition rates (e.g., tropical rainforests), would have decomposition rates similar to temperate zones after a catastrophe. Not everywhere would be affected equally, as some areas see less temperature and precipitation anomalies than others (Coupe et al., 2019). Most wood decomposition rates would likely start to increase after year 7 as this is when both precipitation and temperature would begin to increase.

This wood decomposition timeline would be an important consideration to a community after a global catastrophe as resource availability would be influenced heavily by decomposition rates and wildfire. The importance of these factors would undoubtedly differ between communities given their proximity and access to forests.

Decomposition would not be an important factor influencing resource loss in higher latitudes but may be a severe problem in areas closer to the equator if precipitation is not limiting. Mainly, the greater temperature in the tropics would continue to support decomposition if dead trees reached their fiber-saturation point.

High altitude montane forests (e.g., Eastern slopes of the Andes Mountains) may serve as short-term wood repositories in tropical areas as they may cool and freeze, preserving the woody material for a moderately longer period than submontane tropical forests. Temperate forest wood resources would largely be threatened by wildfires and not decomposition given low and even freezing temperatures. However, slow decomposition in temperate areas would allow the preservation of fresh logs to use for lumber or conversion to fuel.

As the climate stabilizes 10–15 years after the event and temperatures return to normal, decomposition rates would increase back to normal decomposition rates. This means although fresh wood may be plentiful directly after a catastrophic event, wood in the tropics may become a scarce resource after a few years. If the conditions after previous catastrophes (K-PG bolide impact and Toba super-volcanic eruption) are any indication, there would be fires and a lack of substantial new wood production after the catastrophe for many years.

2.5. Indirect Effects and Forest Succession After Catastrophe

As conditions begin to return to near-normal levels, some amount of succession and regrowth would likely take place in the previously productive forest. Although some seeds are transient and lose their viability very quickly (within a year), both woody and non-woody plants can produce seeds that remain viable for several years under the soil in natural seedbanks (Dalling & Brown, 2009). Increased UV-B/C may also damage seeds near the surface of the soil after exposures longer than a couple of years; however, seeds with extra seed coatings would be able to resist some UV-B/C damage (Tepfer & Leach, 2017). Overall, seeds designed for long-term viability with seed coatings would be the most likely to survive after a sun-blocking catastrophe. Many species would likely go extinct, however, there may be some species that rise “out of the ashes” as pioneer species filling newly created ecological niches. Although this would happen naturally over many years, this process could be expedited through reforestation efforts by planting key local species (Hooper et al., 2002; Ma et al., 2020).

It has been observed that indirect effects due to wildfires are less influential than the direct effects of fire, and change depending on how many fire events occur (Bowd et al., 2021). Similarly, many indirect effects due to shifts in the ecological community after a sun-blocking catastrophe would occur but given the already unpredictable direct effects, these are even less predictable and would be more localized than direct effects. Some drivers of the unpredictability of indirect effects on any given ecological community include the timing and local intensity of UV-B/C radiation; pre-catastrophe climate and forest structure, dynamics, and health; cultivation of emergency non-native food plants; unregulated gathering and transport of wood resources post-catastrophe; radioactive fallout; declining and overharvesting of herbivore populations; etc.

3. Using Wood Resources

As we have discussed, wood resource availability after a nuclear catastrophe would be variable and potentially quite different than wood resource availability during current conditions. However, the usability of remaining wood resources after the catastrophe for fuel and NTFPs is a similarly important issue. This section will discuss how wood may be used and in what form.

3.1. Wood as an Energy Source

Wood, for both heat and cooking, would likely be the most accessible and important resource after heavy damage to energy infrastructures (gas, electric, oil, etc.) (Sreevani, 1992). By simply using small, efficient wood stoves with more controlled air intake like the Envirofit Rocket Stove (<http://envirofit.org>) and those put forward by the Clean Cooking Alliance (<https://www.cleancookingalliance.org>), households can efficiently cook while using a smaller amount of fuelwood and prevent illness due to poor indoor air quality due to excess smoke (Ochieng et al., 2013; Peck, 1942; Rosa et al., 2014). Likewise, rocket mass heaters (RMHs) are efficient, low-tech wood-stove designs made of barrels, tubes, and cob masonry that can heat the home up to 24 hr after the wood fuel has been burned, and can double as a cooking surface (Peck, 1942; Schumack, 2016). Similar makeshift woodstoves

and wood-fueled heating systems would be the ideal, if not only, technologies available in a sun-blocked catastrophe.

Likewise, wood chips and pellets can be used to produce combustible biogases including hydrogen through pyrolysis and gasification (Arief et al., 2021). At its core, this is a simple process of heating wood until those flammable gases are released. A simple wood gasifier can be constructed with a welder and spare metal scraps. Biogas from wood gasification as well as methane production from anaerobic digestion of other biomasses can be used to power simple electric generators, cook food, and heat homes (Aita et al., 2016).

Similarly, charcoal burns hotter and has more energy than wood by weight; however, about two-thirds of the original energy in wood is lost in the charcoal-making process (Wood & Baldwin, 1985). Therefore, in a disaster scenario, charcoal production may not be the most efficient form of fuel. However, charcoal could be useful in blacksmithing, smelting, and other high-temperature crafts. There is much to learn from efforts in developing countries that use stoves that are more efficient in wood use than the traditional three-stone woodstove (Wood & Baldwin, 1985).

3.2. Wood as a Food Source

Wood reduced to smaller particle sizes can be used for composting, and plant growing media. Particularly, sawdust made in mills as described below can be used as a substrate for growing mushrooms, providing many of the required nutrients for mushroom growth, especially when supplemented with agricultural/food waste (Girmay et al., 2016). Lignin and cellulose digesting mushrooms such as oyster mushrooms (*Pleurotus* spp.) would be best for both producing food and partially breaking down woody material (Bonatti et al., 2004). If chipping or sawing wood would not be feasible, small-scale mushroom farmers could resort to using whole logs (Frey et al., 2020).

Humans do not have the ability to digest lignocellulose on their own. However, this biomass can be broken down through the process of saccharification into digestible, simple sugars which, conventionally, is done as a precursor for biofuel production (Anu et al., 2020). This can be accomplished by using both thermo-chemical and enzymatic pretreatment methods to separate the components of lignocellulose (cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin), and then using enzyme baths to break apart the cellulose and hemicellulose at the molecular level into hexose and pentose sugars (Bhatia et al., 2020). Although these treatments work well, they are not straightforward tasks that require specific tools, materials, and energy inputs not widely available in addition to the possibility of bacterial contamination (Barba et al., 2021; Beig et al., 2020). Pretreatments are usually quite expensive, and it would be costly to create a universally available pretreatment method (Beig et al., 2020).

There would be two main challenges for household level saccharification after a catastrophe: the absence of a “one size fits all” enzyme to break down all lignocellulose types, and those different types of lignocellulose need different pretreatments (Østby et al., 2020). Simpler pretreatment methods such as hydrothermal and acid bath pretreatments still require expensive equipment and chemicals, in addition to energy (Anu et al., 2020; Seguí & Fito Maupoey, 2018). Some less effective methods may be possible such as freeze-thaw, ball milling, and microwaving (if some device was created with household microwave ovens) (Haldar & Purkait, 2021; Rooni et al., 2017). Even if pretreatments work, the creation of specific enzyme cocktails to break down the lignocellulosic polymers would likely need to be done onsite using local fungi strains (Østby et al., 2020).

Saccharification would also make it easier for other organisms to use and digest wood. For instance, partially processed wood could also be fed to cellulose digesting livestock like cows and sheep as a feed alternative (Denkenberger et al., 2017). Because ruminants cannot digest lignin, sawdust and wood chips need to be pretreated to remove lignin to below 10% using enzymatic hydrolysis in order for them to digest the lignocellulosic material (Anthony et al., 1969). Likewise spent mushroom substrate made from hardwood sawdust has also been shown to be a plausible feed source for ruminants (Anthony et al., 1969).

3.3. Post-Catastrophe Wood Processing Challenges

To use wood for lumber or fuel most efficiently it must be dried, or “seasoned,” to remove moisture. Under normal circumstances this can take 6 months to a year of air drying; however, just a month of drying allows for more efficient burning in emergencies (Peck, 1942). Although partially seasoned wood can be burned, a significant amount of energy is lost turning the remaining moisture content into steam (Peck, 1942). For this reason, it

would be important to start harvesting green firewood as soon as possible after a catastrophe to increase drying time. Additionally, the larger the surface area to volume ratio of the wood, the shorter the drying time will be. For this reason, the wood used for biogas production could be chipped while green to shorten drying time.

To use wood more effectively for biogas production and saccharification methods, there would need to be ways of processing large woody materials into useable, smaller fragments like woodchips and sawdust. Although our understanding of how infrastructure may be affected by such a large catastrophe is very limited, it is reasonable to suggest that the effects on the infrastructure from a catastrophe would be worse than in localized disasters. With the absence of electricity and potentially the absence of large-scale industries and infrastructure after a catastrophe (Graham, 2009), appropriate technologies and hand tools for processing wood would be needed at the community and family level (Peck, 1942).

For example, traditional technologies like watermills have been used for centuries to power lumber mills and grain mills across the world (Archer et al., 2017; Pujol et al., 2010; Sharma et al., 2008). The watermill design can be used today with much more efficiency using upgraded metal parts if they can be made with the resources available (Agarwal, 2006). Likewise, windmills have been used for similar purposes across the globe (Rossi et al., 2017; Vowles, 1932), although they may not have the reliable constant power needed to process wood. Although gasoline-powered chippers and chainsaws would still be available, gasoline may become a rare commodity. Electric-powered chainsaws and chippers are becoming more efficient and may be a worthy use of the little electrical energy available to communities. Steam-powered mills, though certainly not a quick build, would also be efficient and not lack fuel (wood/sawdust).

Although the natural process of wood decomposition breaks down lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose, the organisms catalyzing such processes are ultimately fully oxidizing the material and releasing CO₂ (Kirk & Cowling, 1984). In the case of food, unless the decomposers are themselves edible, they pose serious bio-contamination issues for both myciculture (mushroom farming) and saccharification techniques. As for direct energy through burning, decomposing wood collects and stores moisture and is constantly losing mass and energy through decomposition (Kirk & Cowling, 1984). For these reasons, using fresh wood sources would likely be the best option for most uses. There would be the possibility of capturing heat from aerobically decomposing wood and using the decomposed wood as compost, although these uses would likely not take priority over uses of nondegraded wood.

4. Conclusion

A sun-blocking catastrophe would disrupt agriculture, food security, and forest health across the globe. Wood resources would be extremely valuable after a catastrophe, perhaps more so than at the present. Wood would not only be a source of fuel but also could be converted into food directly. Current literature seems to suggest that global forest health after such a sun-blocking catastrophe would be grim, and possibly result in mass extinctions and loss of the planet's forests for many years. The wood resources left behind would stay intact for several years until they decomposed, were burned by wildfire, or were used. Using current literature, we posit that wood decomposition in tropical areas would accelerate as temperatures increase steadily to normal conditions. Especially in the tropics, human populations should focus on wood collection soon after the catastrophe as decomposition may destroy wood resources quicker than in temperate areas. Wood resources in temperate areas would be preserved in ice until thawed, in which case wildfire would be the likely mode of resource destruction. To use these wood resources, appropriate technologies and low-tech solutions such as watermills, windmills, and wood-chippers are needed to convert logs into more useable materials such as sawdust or chips. During a catastrophe, these methods and technologies have the potential to be immediately useful in developing countries and areas with less reliable energy sources.

Studies show that although there is a positive correlation between disaster preparedness and perceived disaster risk, the effect of this correlation is quite small (Akbar et al., 2020; Howe, 2018). Although many may feel that they are prepared for unforeseen disasters, surveys have shown that very few people are actually as prepared as they think they are (Kapucu, 2008). It can be difficult to convince people to correctly prepare for unforeseen disasters, even if that disaster is likely. For this reason, it may be more prudent to encourage actions that benefit people in their current lives rather than enticing people to be prepared for the future. As applies to this study, it is likely that education programs geared toward teaching people how to process wood and find food when

all infrastructure fails would not get much attention, interest, or funding. However, framing the use of wood resources as a potential source of food and as a renewable resource to improve livelihoods in the present, will likely be more effective. This, in turn, will also build a knowledge base for communities to be better suited to react to possible local disasters, famines, and even global catastrophes. Similarly, another actionable step would be to change the culture of disaster preparedness itself to be more popular (Kapucu, 2008). Although, this may prove more difficult than advocating for the immediate benefits of disaster preparedness strategies for households and communities.

For instance, educating people to be more aware of common causes of wildfires and areas most at risk could significantly reduce wildfires currently and after a catastrophe. Prioritizing areas with high wildfire risks such as sloped areas and areas close to roads for logging and wood gathering after a catastrophic event could be a good strategy for efficient resource use and conservation of wood resources (Syphard & Keeley, 2015). Education programs on wood resource management would be a prudent way of both increasing the yield and efficiency of local communities that currently use wood as fuel, and preparing communities for possible disasters and catastrophes.

The information gathered for this review suggests that many of the aftereffects of a global sun-blocking catastrophe would be out of human control even if humans caused such an event. The results of a catastrophe event would likely be disastrous and irreversible to the plant and animal communities as well as human populations. Including this sort of information and guidance in a catastrophe response kit could be beneficial in providing needed information for human survival at a community and household level if such an event occurred. Additionally, more research into how to make saccharification accessible to regular homeowners with generic enzymes and pretreatments could prove to be useful during times of famine and disaster in countries today in addition to global catastrophe.

This study further illustrates the destructive power that a nuclear war would have on the entire planet and not just the actors directly involved. Although humans have little influence on the probability of most sun-blocking catastrophes, nuclear war is easily avoidable and should be avoided at all costs for the security and future of humanity and our planet as we know it.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest relevant to this study.

Data Availability Statement

There are no data associated with this review and therefore accessible data from this review article are not provided.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Open Philanthropy for completely funding this research. The authors also give a special thanks to the Penn State Food Resiliency team for their support and insight.

References

- Agarwal, S. K. (2006). Re-energizing watermills for multipurpose use and improved rural livelihoods: A case study from the Western Himalayas. *Mountain Research and Development*, 26(2), 104–108. [https://doi.org/10.1659/0276-4741\(2006\)26\[104:RWFUMA\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1659/0276-4741(2006)26[104:RWFUMA]2.0.CO;2)
- Aita, B. C., Mayer, F. D., Muratt, D. T., Brondani, M., Pujol, S. B., Denardi, L. B., et al. (2016). Biofiltration of H₂S-rich biogas using *Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans*. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*, 18(3), 689–703. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-015-1043-5>
- Akbar, Z., Suryaratri, R. D., Tri, Y., Gumelar, G., & Ariyani, M. (2020). Disaster risk perception and household disaster preparedness: Lesson learned from Tsunami in Banten. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 448, 012099. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/448/1/012099>
- Anderson, J. M. (1991). The effects of climate change on decomposition processes in grassland and coniferous forests. *Ecological Applications*, 1(3), 326–347. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1941761>
- Anthony, W. B., Cunningham, J. P., & Harris, R. R. (1969). Hardwood sawdust as feed for ruminants. In *Cellulases and their applications* (pp. 315–327). American Chemical Society. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ba-1969-0095.ch019>
- AnuKumar, A., Rapoport, A., Kunze, G., Kumar, S., Singh, D., & Singh, B. (2020). Multifarious pretreatment strategies for the lignocellulosic substrates for the generation of renewable and sustainable biofuels: A review. *Renewable Energy*, 160, 1228–1252. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2020.07.031>
- Archer, J. E., Thomas, H., & Turley, R. M. (2017). The millers' tales: Sustainability, the arts and the watermill. In A. Johns-Putra, J. Parham, & L. Squire (Eds.), *Literature and sustainability* (pp. 13–32). Manchester University Press.
- Arief, S. N. C., Antono, V., Prayudi., & Nurhasanah, R. (2021). Design and development of biomass gasifier reactor to produce syngas. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 1088, 012083. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/1088/1/012083>
- Armengot, L., Barbieri, P., Andres, C., Milz, J., & Schneider, M. (2016). Cacao agroforestry systems have higher return on labor compared to full-sun monocultures. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 36, 70. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-016-0406-6>

- Barba, F. C., Chacón, M. G., Reynolds, W. R., Puri, D. J., Bourne, R. A., & Blacker, A. J. (2021). Improved conversion of residual MSW biomass waste to sugars using online process monitoring and integrated contamination control. *Bioresource Technology Reports*, *13*, 100612. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2020.100612>
- Bardeen, C. G., García, R. R., Toon, O. B., & Conley, A. J. (2017). On transient climate change at the Cretaceous–Paleogene boundary due to atmospheric soot injections. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *114*(36), E7415–E7424. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708980114>
- Bar-On, Y. M., Phillips, R., & Milo, R. (2018). The biomass distribution on Earth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *115*(25), 6506–6511. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711842115>
- Barrett, A. M., Baum, S. D., & Hostetler, K. (2013). Analyzing and reducing the risks of inadvertent nuclear war between the United States and Russia. *Science and Global Security*, *21*, 106–133. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08929882.2013.798984>
- Bauhus, J., Meer, P. J. V. D., & Kanninen, M. (Eds.). (2010). *Ecosystem goods and services from plantation forests*. Earthscan.
- Beig, B., Riaz, M., Raza Naqvi, S., Hassan, M., Zheng, Z., Karimi, K., et al. (2020). Current challenges and innovative developments in pretreatment of lignocellulosic residues for biofuel production: A review. *Fuel*, *287*, 119670. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2020.119670>
- Bhatia, S. K., Jagtap, S. S., Bedekar, A. A., Bhatia, R. K., Patel, A. K., Pant, D., et al. (2020). Recent developments in pretreatment technologies on lignocellulosic biomass: Effect of key parameters, technological improvements, and challenges. *Bioresource Technology*, *300*, 122724. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122724>
- Bonatti, M., Karnopp, P., Soares, H. M., & Furlan, S. A. (2004). Evaluation of *Pleurotus ostreatus* and *Pleurotus sajor-caju* nutritional characteristics when cultivated in different lignocellulosic wastes. *Food Chemistry*, *88*(3), 425–428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.01.050>
- Bowd, E. J., Banks, S. C., Bissett, A., May, T. W., & Lindenmayer, D. B. (2021). Direct and indirect disturbance impacts in forests. *Ecology Letters*, *24*, 1225–1236. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13741>
- Bravo, L. A., Saavedra-Mella, F. A., Vera, F., Guerra, A., Cavieres, L. A., Ivanov, A. G., et al. (2007). Effect of cold acclimation on the photosynthetic performance of two ecotypes of *Colobanthis quitensis* (Kunth) Bartl. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *58*(13), 3581–3590. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erm206>
- Chao, S. (2012). *Forest peoples: Numbers across the world*. Forest Peoples Programme.
- Cochrane, M. A. (2009). *Tropical fire ecology: Climate change, land use, and ecosystem dynamics*. Springer.
- Coupe, J., Bardeen, C. G., Robock, A., & Toon, O. B. (2019). Nuclear winter responses to nuclear war between the United States and Russia in the whole atmosphere community climate model version 4 and the Goddard Institute for Space studies ModelE. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *124*(15), 8522–8543. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030509>
- Cruz García, G. S. (2006). The mother - child nexus. Knowledge and valuation of wild food plants in Wayanad, Western Ghats, India. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, *2*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-4269-2-39>
- Dalling, J. W., & Brown, T. A. (2009). Long-term persistence of pioneer species in tropical rain forest soil seed banks. *The American Naturalist*, *173*(4), 531–535. <https://doi.org/10.1086/597221>
- Denkenberger, D., Cole, D. D., Abdelkhalig, M., Griswold, M., Hundley, A. B., & Pearce, J. M. (2017). Feeding everyone if the sun is obscured and industry is disabled. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, *21*, 284–290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2016.12.018>
- Denkenberger, D., & Pearce, J. (2014a). Fiber supply for conversion to food. In *Feeding Everyone No Matter What: Managing food security after global catastrophe* (pp. 51–58). Elsevier.
- Denkenberger, D., & Pearce, J. (2014b). No sun: Three sunlight-killing scenarios. In *Feeding Everyone No Matter What: Managing food security after global catastrophe* (pp. 17–24). Elsevier.
- Denkenberger, D., & Pearce, J. (2014c). Stopgap food production: Fast food. In *Feeding Everyone No Matter What: Managing food security after global catastrophe* (pp. 41–50). Elsevier.
- Denkenberger, D., & Pearce, J. (2015). Feeding everyone: Solving the food crisis in event of global catastrophes that kill crops or obscure the sun. *Futures*, *72*, 57–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2014.11.008>
- Depicker, A., Baets, B. D., & Baetens, J. M. (2020). Wildfire ignition probability in Belgium. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, *20*, 363–376. <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-20-363-2020>
- Dirks, I., Navon, Y., Kanas, D., Dumbur, R., & Grünzweig, J. M. (2010). Atmospheric water vapor as driver of litter decomposition in Mediterranean shrubland and grassland during rainless seasons. *Global Change Biology*, *16*(10), 2799–2812. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02172.x>
- Durbak, I., Green, D. W., Highley, T. L., Howard, J. L., McKeever, D. B., Miller, R. B., et al. (1998). *Kirk-othmer Encyclopedia of chemical Technology*. 4th ed., (Vol. 25, pp. 627–664). John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Eschenbach, C., Glauner, R., Kleine, M., & Kappen, L. (1998). Photosynthesis rates of selected tree species in lowland dipterocarp rainforest of Sabah, Malaysia. *Trees*, *12*, 356–365. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004680050162>
- FAO. (2017). *Forests and energy*. FAO.
- FAO. (2019). *FAOSTAT Statistical Database*. FAO.
- FAO. (2020). Global forest resources Assessment 2020 - key findings. <https://doi.org/10.4060/ca8753en>
- Frey, G. E., Durmus, T., Sills, E. O., Isik, F., & Comer, M. M. (2020). Potential alternative tree species as substrates for forest farming of log-grown shiitake mushrooms in the Southeastern United States. *HortTechnology*, *30*(6), 741–744. <https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTTECH04721-20>
- Ghazoul, J. (2013). Deforestation and land clearing. In *Encyclopedia of Biodiversity* (2nd ed., pp. 447–456). Zurich. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-384719-5.00281-1>
- Girmay, Z., Gorems, W., Birhanu, G., & Zewdie, S. (2016). Growth and yield performance of *Pleurotus ostreatus* (Jacq. Fr.) Kumm (oyster mushroom) on different substrates. *AMB Express*, *6*(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-016-0265-1>
- Graham, S. (Ed.). (2009). *Disrupted Cities* (1st ed.). Taylor & Francis.
- Greene, O., Percival, I., & Ridge, I. (1985). *Nuclear winter: The evidence and the risks*. Polity Press.
- Halдар, D., & Purkait, M. K. (2021). A review on the environment-friendly emerging techniques for pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass: Mechanistic insight and advancements. *Chemosphere*, *264*, 128523. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.128523>
- Harmon, M. E., Franklin, J. F., Swanson, F. J., Sollins, P., Gregory, S. V., Lattin, J. D., et al. (2004). Ecology of coarse woody debris in temperate ecosystems. *Advances in Ecological Research*, *34*, 59–234. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2504\(03\)34002-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2504(03)34002-4)
- Harvey, M. C., Brassell, S. C., Belcher, C. M., & Montanari, A. (2008). Combustion of fossil organic matter at the Cretaceous–Paleogene (K–P) boundary. *Geology*, *36*(5), 355–358. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G24646A.1>
- Harwell, M. A. (1984). *Nuclear winter*. Springer-Verlag.
- Helfand, I., Lewis, P., & Haines, A. (2022). Reducing the risks of nuclear war to humanity. *The Lancet*, *399*, 1097–1098. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(22\)00422-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00422-6)
- Hering, A. S., Bell, C. L., & Genton, M. G. (2009). Modeling spatio-temporal wildfire ignition point patterns. *Environmental and Ecological Statistics*, *16*, 225–250. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10651-007-0080-6>

- Hladik, Marcel, C., Linares, O. F., Hladik, A., Pagezy, H., & Semple, A. (1993). Tropical forests, people and food: An overview. In C. M. Hladik, A. Hladik, O. F. Linares, H. Pagezy, A. Semple, & M. Hadley (Eds.), *Tropical forests, people and food* (pp. 3–14). The Parthenon Publishing Group.
- Hooper, E., Condit, R., & Legendre, P. (2002). Responses of 20 native tree species to reforestation strategies for abandoned farmland in Panama. *Ecological Applications*, 12(6), 1626–1641. [https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761\(2002\)012\[1626:rontst\]2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(2002)012[1626:rontst]2.0.co;2)
- Howe, P. D. (2018). Modeling geographic variation in household disaster preparedness across U.S. States and metropolitan areas. *The Professional Geographer*, 70(3), 491–503. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00330124.2017.1416301>
- Ingram, V., & Schure, J. (2010). *Review of non timber forest products (NTFPs) in Central Africa, Cameroon*. CIFOR/FORENET Project. (June). Retrieved from <http://dare.uva.nl/document/228394>
- Jacobson, K., Van Diepeningen, A., Evans, S., Fritts, R., Gemmel, P., Marsho, C., et al. (2015). Non-rainfall moisture activates fungal decomposition of surface litter in the Namib Sand Sea. *PLoS One*, 10(5), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0126977>
- Jagermeyr, J., Robock, A., Elliott, J., Muller, C., Xia, L., Khabarov, N., et al. (2020). A regional nuclear conflict would compromise global food security. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 117(13), 7071–7081. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1919049117>
- Jones, T. P., & Lim, B. (2000). Extraterrestrial impacts and wildfires. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 164(1–4), 57–66. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182\(00\)00175-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182(00)00175-9)
- Kapucu, N. (2008). Culture of preparedness: Household disaster preparedness. *Disaster Prevention and Management: International Journal*, 17(4), 526–535. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09653560810901773>
- Kindermann, G. E., McCallum, I., Fritz, S., & Obersteiner, M. (2008). A global forest growing stock, biomass and carbon map based on FAO statistics. *Silva Fennica*, 42(3), 387–396. <https://doi.org/10.14214/sf.244>
- Kirk, T. K., & Cowling, E. B. (1984). *Biological decomposition of Solid wood*. In *The Chemistry of Solid Wood* (pp. 455–487). <https://doi.org/10.1021/ba-1984-0207.ch012>
- Kitao, M., Hida, T., Eguchi, N., Tobita, H., Utsugi, H., Uemura, A., et al. (2016). Light compensation points in shade-grown seedlings of deciduous broadleaf tree species with different successional traits raised under elevated CO₂. *Plant Biology*, 18, 22–27. <https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.12400>
- Knight, K. W., & Rosa, E. A. (2012). Household dynamics and fuelwood consumption in developing countries: A cross-national analysis. *Population and Environment*, 33(4), 365–378. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11111-011-0151-3>
- Ma, W., Lei, S., Sun, Y., & Grabosky, J. (2020). Forest succession in post-agricultural *Larix olgensis* plantations in northeast China. *Journal of Forestry Research*, 31(6), 2495–2505. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11676-019-00960-7>
- Mills, M. J., Toon, O. B., Turco, R. P., Kinnison, D. E., & Garcia, R. R. (2008). Massive global ozone loss predicted following regional nuclear conflict. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 105(14), 5307–5312. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0710058105>
- Morgan, J., Artemieva, N., & Goldin, T. (2013). Revisiting wildfires at the K-Pg boundary. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 118(4), 1508–1520. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JG002428>
- Ochieng, C. A., Vardoulakis, S., & Tonne, C. (2013). Are rocket mud stoves associated with lower indoor carbon monoxide and personal exposure in rural Kenya? *Indoor Air*, 23, 14–24. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0668.2012.00786.x>
- Ocho, D. L., Struik, P. C., Price, L. L., Kelbessa, E., & Kolo, K. (2012). Assessing the levels of food shortage using the traffic light metaphor by analyzing the gathering and consumption of wild food plants, crop parts and crop residues in Konso, Ethiopia. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, 8, 30. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-4269-8-30>
- Ord, T. (2020). *The Precipice: Existential risk and the future of humanity*. Hachette Books.
- Østby, H., Hansen, L. D., Horn, S. J., Eijsink, V. G. H., & Várnai, A. (2020). Enzymatic processing of lignocellulosic biomass: Principles, recent advances and perspectives. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 47, 623–657. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-020-02301-8>
- Pan, Y., Birdsey, R. A., Phillips, O. L., Jackson, R. B., Pan, Y., Birdsey, R. A., et al. (2019). The structure, distribution, and biomass of the world's forests. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 44, 593–622.
- Peck, R. H. (1942). Wood for war emergency fuel. *University of Missouri Agricultural Experiment Station Circular*, 246, 3–6.
- Penna, I. (2010). Understanding the FAO's 'wood supply from planted forests' projections. In A. J. J. Lynch (Ed.), *No.2010/01*. University of Ballarat, Centre for Environmental Management. *University of Ballarat*.
- Pericault, Y., Risberg, M., Vesterlund, M., Viklander, M., & Hedström, A. (2017). A novel freeze protection strategy for shallow buried sewer pipes: Temperature modelling and field investigation. *Water Science and Technology*, 76(2), 294–301. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2017.174>
- Petersen, R. C. (1984). The chemical composition of wood. In R. M. Rowell (Ed.), *The Chemistry of Solid wood* (pp. 57–126). American Chemical Society. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ba-1984-0207.ch002>
- Pieristè, M., Chauvat, M., Kotilainen, T. K., Jones, A. G., Aubert, M., Robson, T. M., & Forey, E. (2019). Solar UV-A radiation and blue light enhance tree leaf litter decomposition in a temperate forest. *Oecologia*, 191(1), 191–203. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-019-04478-x>
- Pierson, T. C., Major, J. J., Amigo, Á., & Moreno, H. (2013). Acute sedimentation response to rainfall following the explosive phase of the 2008–2009 eruption of Chaitén volcano, Chile. *Bulletin of Volcanology*, 75(5), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-013-0723-4>
- Poulos, H. M. (2014). Tree mortality from a short-duration freezing event and global-change-type drought in a Southwestern piñon-juniper woodland, USA. *PeerJ*, 2, e404. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.404>
- Pujol, T., Solà, J., Montoro, L., & Pelegrí, M. (2010). Hydraulic performance of an ancient Spanish watermill. *Renewable Energy*, 35(2), 387–396. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2009.03.033>
- Rampino, M. R., & Ambrose, S. H. (2000). Volcanic winter in the Garden of Eden: The Toba supereruption and the late Pleistocene human population crash. *Special Paper of the Geological Society of America*, 345, 71–82. <https://doi.org/10.1130/0-8137-2345-0.71>
- Rampino, M. R., & Self, S. (1992). Volcanic winter and accelerated glaciation following the Toba super-eruption. *Nature*, 359(6390), 50–52. <https://doi.org/10.1038/359050a0>
- Rooni, V., Raud, M., & Kikas, T. (2017). The freezing pre-treatment of lignocellulosic material: A cheap alternative for Nordic countries. *Energy*, 139, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2017.07.146>
- Rorig, M. L., & Ferguson, S. A. (1999). Characteristics of lightning and wildland fire ignition in the Pacific Northwest. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, 38, 1565–1575. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450\(1999\)038<1565:colawf>2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1999)038<1565:colawf>2.0.co;2)
- Rosa, G., Majorin, F., Boisson, S., Barstow, C., Johnson, M., Kirby, M., et al. (2014). Assessing the impact of water filters and improved cook stoves on drinking water quality and household air pollution: A randomised controlled trial in Rwanda. *PLoS One*, 9(3), e91011. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0091011>
- Rossi, C., Russo, F., & Savino, S. (2017). Windmills: Ancestors of the wind power generation. *Frontiers of Mechanical Engineering*, 12(3), 389–396. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11465-017-0414-5>

- Schumack, M. (2016). A computational model for a rocket mass heater. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, *93*, 763–778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2015.10.035>
- Seguí, L., & Fito Maupoey, P. (2018). An integrated approach for pineapple waste valorisation. Bioethanol production and bromelain extraction from pineapple residues. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *172*, 1224–1231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.284>
- Seibold, S., Rammer, W., Hothorn, T., Seidl, R., Ulyshen, M. D., Lorz, J., et al. (2021). The contribution of insects to global forest deadwood decomposition. *Nature*, *597*, 77–81. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03740-8>
- Sendall, K. M., Lusk, C. H., & Reich, P. B. (2015). Becoming less tolerant with age: Sugar maple, shade, and ontogeny. *Oecologia*, *179*(4), 1011–1021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3428-x>
- Sentinella, A. T., Warton, D. I., Sherwin, W. B., Offord, C. A., & Moles, A. T. (2020). Tropical plants do not have narrower temperature tolerances, but are more at risk from warming because they are close to their upper thermal limits. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *29*(8), 1387–1398. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13117>
- Sharma, R. C., Bisht, Y., Sharma, R., & Singh, D. (2008). Gharats (watermills): Indigenous device for sustainable development of renewable hydro-energy in Utrakhand Himalayas. *Renewable Energy*, *33*(10), 2199–2206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2007.12.023>
- Sierra, C. A., Malghani, S., & Loescher, H. W. (2017). Interactions among temperature, moisture, and oxygen concentrations in controlling decomposition rates in a boreal forest soil. *Biogeosciences*, *14*(3), 703–710. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-703-2017>
- Sreevani, P. (1992). Wood as a renewable source of energy and future fuel. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5047972>
- Syphard, A. D., & Keeley, J. E. (2015). Location, timing and extent of wildfire vary by cause of ignition. *International Journal of Wildland Fire*, *24*(1), 37–47. <https://doi.org/10.1071/WF14024>
- Syphard, A. D., Radeloff, V. C., Keeley, J. E., Hawbaker, T. J., Clayton, M. K., Stewart, S. I., & Hammer, R. B. (2007). Human influence on California fire regimes. *Ecological Applications*, *17*(5), 1388–1402. <https://doi.org/10.1890/06-1128.1>
- Tabor, C. R., Bardeen, C. G., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Garcia, R. R., & Toon, O. B. (2020). Causes and climatic consequences of the impact winter at the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *47*(3), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085572>
- Tepfer, D., & Leach, S. (2017). Survival and DNA damage in plant seeds exposed for 558 and 682 Days outside the International Space station. *Astrobiology*, *17*(3), 205–215. <https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2015.1457>
- Throop, H. L., Abu Salem, M., & Whitford, W. G. (2017). Fire enhances litter decomposition and reduces vegetation cover influences on decomposition in a dry woodland. *Plant Ecology*, *218*(7), 799–811. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-017-0730-1>
- Timm, H., Stegemann, J., & Küppers, M. (2002). Photosynthetic induction strongly affects the light compensation point of net photosynthesis and coincidentally the apparent quantum yield. *Trees - Structure and Function*, *16*(1), 47–62. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004680100123>
- Toon, O. B., Bardeen, C. G., Robock, A., Xia, L., Kristensen, H., McKinzie, M., et al. (2019). Rapidly expanding nuclear arsenals in Pakistan and India portend regional and global catastrophe. *Science Advances*, *5*(10), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aay5478>
- Toon, O. B., Robock, A., & Turco, R. P. (2014). Environmental consequences of nuclear war. *AIP Convergence Proceedings*, *65*(1596), 65–73. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4876320>
- Ulyshen, M. D. (2016). Wood decomposition as influenced by invertebrates. *Biological Reviews*, *91*(1), 70–85. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12158>
- Vajda, V., Raine, J. I., & Hollis, C. J. (2001). Indication of global deforestation at the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary by New Zealand fern spike. *Science*, *294*(5547), 1700–1702. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1064706>
- Vowles, H. P. (1932). Ancient windmills. *Nature*, *129*(3252), 317. <https://doi.org/10.1038/129317a0>
- Williams, M. A. J., Ambrose, S. H., van der Kaars, S., Ruehleemann, C., Chattopadhyaya, U., Pal, J., & Chauhan, P. R. (2009). Environmental impact of the 73 ka Toba super-eruption in South Asia. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, *284*(3–4), 295–314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2009.10.009>
- Wilson, T. M., Cole, J. W., Stewart, C., Cronin, S. J., & Johnston, D. M. (2011). Ash storms: Impacts of wind-remobilised volcanic ash on rural communities and agriculture following the 1991 Hudson eruption, southern Patagonia, Chile. *Bulletin of Volcanology*, *73*(3), 223–239. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-010-0396-1>
- Winstead, D. J., & Jacobson, M. G. (2022). Food resilience in a dark catastrophe: A new way of looking at tropical wild edible plants. *Ambio*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-022-01715-1>
- Wood, T. S., & Baldwin, S. (1985). Fuelwood and charcoal use in developing countries. *Annual Review of Energy*(10), 407–429. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.eg.10.110185.002203>
- Wright, S. J., Muller-Landau, H. C., & Schipper, J. (2009). The future of tropical species on a warmer planet. *Conservation Biology*, *23*(6), 1418–1426. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2009.01337.x>
- Ying, L., Cheng, H., Shen, Z., Guan, P., Luo, C., & Peng, X. (2021). Agricultural and Forest Meteorology Relative humidity and agricultural activities dominate wildfire ignitions in Yunnan, Southwest China: Patterns, thresholds, and implications. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *307*, 108540. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2021.108540>